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Abstract—In this paper wireless communication using white, high brightness LEDs (light emitting diodes) is considered. In particular, the use of OFDM (orthogonal frequency division multiplexing) for intensity modulation is investigated. The high peak-to-average ratio (PAR) in OFDM is usually considered a disadvantage in radio frequency transmission systems due to non-linearities of the power amplifier. It is demonstrated theoretically and by means of an experimental system that the high PAR in OFDM can be exploited constructively in visible light communication to intensity modulate LEDs. It is shown that the theoretical and the experimental results match very closely, and that it is possible to cover a distance of up to one meter using a single LED.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been increased interest in visible light communication systems. The research is motivated by an increasing need of indoor communication systems and the improvements of light emitting diode technologies (LEDs). High brightness LEDs are already used for several applications and it is foreseen that they will also replace conventional lighting sources in the next decade. Furthermore, the bandwidth of optical free space communication systems using LED technology is high in comparison to radio frequency based solutions. This widespread use provides the necessary infrastructure and hence removes one of the major hurdles faced by new communication schemes; thus making the technology particularly appealing. A typical application scenario might be to additionally use the reading lights in planes for high speed wireless transmission. Moreover, some of the notable advantages of visible light communication over RF (radio frequency) and IR (infra red) based systems are:

- There are no regulations regarding the use of the visible EM (electromagnetic) spectrum
- Unlike IR communication schemes, there are no health regulations to restrict the transmit power
- Optical communication provides higher security than RF communication schemes; it is very difficult for an intruder to (covertly) pick up the signal from outside the room

In an optical communication system, it is possible to modulate the transmitted optical signal in a variety of ways. The phase/frequency, polarization, or the intensity of the optical signal can be modulated. Intensity modulation has the advantage of being particularly easy to implement; the optical output power of the source is simply varied according to the modulating signal. The optical signal thus produced is also easy to detect - the modulating signal is easily recovered from the output of a photodiode. The method is therefore aptly termed direct-detection. The penalty for the simplicity of IM-DD (intensity modulation - direct detection) systems is a reduction in sensitivity, and the vulnerability to noise – as compared to coherent detection methods (e.g. heterodyning).

OOK (on-off keying), PCM (pulse code modulation), and SC-BPSK (sub-carrier binary phase shift keying) are some of the more popular modulation schemes used in conjunction with LED wireless systems [1]. The use of OFDM was first noted in [2]. The inherent robustness of OFDM against multipath effects makes it an excellent choice for situations where multiple transmitters are used simultaneously (to avoid shadowing effects) and a path difference to the receiver exists. One of the major disadvantages of an OFDM based system is the characteristic high crest factor of the time domain signal. In traditional RF based systems, this usually necessitates a transmitter with a high dynamic range and hence results in reduced power efficiency. In the proposed optical LED system, this disadvantage is turned to an advantage - the time domain OFDM signal is used to modulate (IM-DD) the optical source. The signal variations are around an operating point determined by the particular LED in use. It is chosen such that the LED operates in the linear region of the current vs. intensity curve.

Most of the research in the area of visible light communication using OFDM has been theoretical. The goal of the project is to implement an experimental system. This experimental system is primarily aimed at demonstrating a proof-of-concept for the approach of exploiting the high crest-factor in OFDM for LED intensity modulation. Therefore, standard off-the-shelf components were selected. Thereby, it is accepted that the system is clearly not optimised for maximum data rate transmission capabilities. Such optimisation will be carried out at later stages once the feasibility has been shown.

In the next section, the system design and the optical setup is described. The theoretical and experimental results are described in Section III. Section IV concludes the paper.

II. SYSTEM DESIGN

The communication chain is implemented using a pair of digital signal processing development kits (Texas Instruments TMS320C6711). The D/A converters of the boards have a precision of 16 bits and operate at a frequency of 8kHz – offering a maximum system bandwidth of 4kHz and a sampling interval of 125µs. The on-board DSP is capable of floating point operations. With a 64-point IFFT (inverse
The receiver starts by capturing a data stream two frames long. This ensures that the captured data contains at least one long. This ensures that the captured data contains at least one
contiguous frame (one pilot symbol and OFDM symbols). Once the symbols have been captured, the receiver signals the transmitter to continue processing the next set of data. The captured symbols are then run through a synchronization detection subroutine. The detector is essentially a running integrator with a window size equal to the length of the silent period (zeros) in the pilot symbol. The integrator scans through the absolute value of the captured data stream one sample at a time and records the index of the position where the minimum value of the integral was observed. As the integrator only considers absolute values, the integral over a sinusoid is a non-zero number. At this point the advantage of the special pilot structure becomes clear—the guard sinusoids provide an effective contrast between themselves and the silent period and hence make it easier for the detector to reach the correct decision. Eventually, the integrator window will come into alignment with the silent portion of a pilot symbol and it is here that the lowest integral will be recorded. Thus the index to the start of the OFDM symbols is determined. Then, using this index, the OFDM symbols are extracted and run through the serial to parallel converter. Once the data is parallelized, the cyclic prefix is removed and the frame is passed to the FFT operator. The FFT operation reproduces the mirrored frame structure designed in the transmitter. The upper half (elements 2 to $M + 1$) of this frame is retained as the valid result. The complex data is then passed through the QPSK demodulator to recover the binary data. The decision regions defined are:

$$\Re\left(\text{symbol}\right) > 0 \quad \& \quad \Im\left(\text{symbol}\right) > 0 \Rightarrow 00$$
$$\Re\left(\text{symbol}\right) < 0 \quad \& \quad \Im\left(\text{symbol}\right) > 0 \Rightarrow 10$$
$$\Re\left(\text{symbol}\right) > 0 \quad \& \quad \Im\left(\text{symbol}\right) < 0 \Rightarrow 01$$
$$\Re\left(\text{symbol}\right) < 0 \quad \& \quad \Im\left(\text{symbol}\right) < 0 \Rightarrow 11$$

The QPSK symbols are also written to an ASCII file to facilitate the plotting of receiver constellation diagrams. Once the binary data has been recovered, it is written to an ASCII data file. Since the transmitted bits are known to the receiver, a bit error rate (BER) calculation is also carried out. At every cycle the receiver checks the received bit sequences for a match against the end of file bit pattern; if a match is found, the files are closed and the receiver stops. Otherwise, the program captures the next set of data and repeats the signal processing.

It is assumed that the channel is single tap with a real coefficient and hence is flat fading. Therefore any phase/amplitude distortion is assumed to be minimal. Consequently, an equalizer would have very little effect on the final result and hence was omitted from the design of this simple transmission chain.

### C. The Optical Channel

For the theoretical analysis a suitable channel model is required. The channel model used is that proposed by Barry et al. [3]. For the line of sight (LOS) case with no reflections and assuming that the source and receiver separation squared ($R^2$) is much greater than the receiver area ($A_R$), the channel impulse response can be approximated by a scaled and delayed Dirac delta function

$$h\left(t; S, R\right) \approx \frac{n + 1}{2\pi} \cos^n\left(\phi\right) d\Omega \frac{\left(\frac{\theta}{\text{FOV}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\delta}{c}\right)}$$

where $S$ is the source and $R$ is the receiver. The simple source is defined as $S = \{r_S, n_S, n\}$; $r_S$ is the position, $n_S$ is the orientation, and $n$ is the mode number associated with the directivity of the source and can be calculated from the source half-angle, $\alpha_H$, using (2) [4]. The simple detector is defined as $R = \{r_R, n_R, A_R, \text{FOV}\}$; $r_R$ is the position, $n_R$ is the orientation, $A_R$ the receiver area, and FOV the field of vision.

$$\alpha_H = \cos^{-1}\left(0.5, 0.5\right)$$

$d\Omega$ is defined as the solid angle subtended by the receiver’s differential area

$$d\Omega \approx \cos\left(\theta\right) \frac{A_R}{R^2}$$

$\theta$ is the angle between $n_R$ and $\left(r_S - r_R\right)$

$$\cos\left(\theta\right) = \frac{n_R \cdot \left(r_S - r_R\right)}{R}$$

$\phi$ is the angle between $n_S$ and $\left(r_R - r_S\right)$

$$\cos\left(\phi\right) = \frac{n_S \cdot \left(r_R - r_S\right)}{R}$$
The function $\text{rect}(x)$ is defined as:

$$\text{rect}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

$c$ is the speed of light. The approximation to the impulse response approaches equality as the ratio $\frac{AR}{R^2}$ approaches zero. Although an algorithm to derive the channel impulse response for multiple reflections is provided in [3], the influence of multiple reflections was neglected; as the $5\mu s$ guard in the OFDM symbols is more than enough to mitigate any multipath effects encountered.

At this point, it is necessary to note that the channel equation

$$y = r \cdot (x \ast h) + \tilde{n}$$

relates the transmitted power to the received current. The input signal $x$ is a power signal, the channel transfer function $h$ is dimensionless, and the receiver responsibility factor $r$ represents the conversion ratio between received optical power and photodiode current at the receiver. Consequently, the received signal $y$ and the additive white Gaussian noise $\tilde{n}$ are currents. In optical channels the quality of the transmission is dominated by shot noise; the ambient light striking the detector leads to a steady shot noise that can be considered as a Gaussian noise process. The receiver pre-amplifier noise is also signal independent and Gaussian [5].

D. The Optical Interface

The optical source is a 5mm White LED with a luminous intensity of 5600 mcd. At the normal operational point of the LED, the electrical power output is about 72 mW. With a 33% efficiency, the optical power output is calculated to be approximately 24 mW.

The optical detector employs a 9.8 mm$^2$ planar photodiode with a built in infra-red rejection filter. The FOV is 60° and the directional sensitivity at 0° is unity. The optical receiver output is biased by +1.5V to ensure that the signal input to the receiver DSP codec falls within the prescribed -0.3V to +3.6V range. Fig. 6 shows a typical setup.

III. THEORETICAL & EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In order to compare the experimental findings with theoretical results, a MATLAB simulation chain was designed. In order to simplify the experiments only direct LOS conditions were considered; i.e. $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $\phi = 0^\circ$. For the simulation chain, time delay in the single path LOS channel is irrelevant since there are no multipath delayed components to consider; therefore, the $\delta\left(t - \frac{R}{c}\right)$ term drops from (1) and leaves an impulse response that is a scalar. As a result, the effect of the channel is fully represented by the channel DC gain

$$H(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) \, dt$$

For the intensity-in intensity-out channel, this is the fraction of the power from a continuous wave transmitter that reaches the detector [3].

A number of physical parameters such as the source mode number, $n$, and the responsivity of the photodiode to white light, $r$, were not available from the datasheets and hence had to be experimentally determined and/or calculated. The datasheet for the white LED specifies a beam half-angle ($\alpha_H$) of 20°. Hence, using (2) the mode number is calculated as 45.

In order to determine the responsivity of the photodiode to white light from the LED, the current generated in response to varying receiver-transmitter separations were noted. Since the total optical power transmitted from the optical point source is known, it is possible to calculate the power density (W/m$^2$) over a surface at any arbitrary distance. The first step is to determine the beam solid angle $\varphi$ (steradians) given the beam half-angle $\alpha_H$ (radians):

$$\varphi = \pi \cdot (\alpha_H)^2$$

Then the surface area subtended by $\varphi$ at a distance $R$ is $\varphi R^2$. The source is left unmodulated and hence has a constant optical power output $P_T$. The power density at an arbitrary distance $R$ is then $\frac{P_T}{\pi R^2}$. Denoting the received current as $I_R$, the expression for the current density at the receiver in
response to the source is $I_R/A_R$. The responsivity can then be calculated as

$$r = \frac{I_R}{A_R} \times \frac{\varphi R^2}{P_T}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Although the equation displays an apparent dependency of $r$ on $R$, this, however, is not the case – the current, $I_R$, is proportional to $\frac{1}{\pi R}$ and hence counters the effect of the $R^2$ term in the numerator; therefore $r$ is a simple scalar coefficient.

From the experimental data, the responsivity of the receiver to white light from the LED was calculated to be 0.03 A/W.

To study the performance of the system three typical scenarios were considered. The first experiments were carried out in a dark room. The second set were in a typical office room illuminated by fluorescent lights. The final set of results were obtained in a room illuminated by the diffused sunlight through the windows. In order to have a valid comparison between the simulated results and the experimental results, it was necessary to calculate the approximate noise levels ($P_N$) associated with the three scenarios. This was done by recording the signal at the absence of the transmitter. As it is assumed that this captured waveform represents a sample function of an ergodic and zero mean noise process at the receiver, the (linear) noise power in Watts was calculated as

$$P_N \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} y_i^2(t)$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $N$ is the number of samples and $y_i$ is the received waveform at sample index $i$. Using these values, the $P_N$ in dBW were calculated as shown in Table I. The noise levels for the indoor and darkness scenarios are almost identical since noise in the digital circuitry is the major contributor rather than ambient optical power.

Fig. 7 shows the distance versus BER plot for the simulated and experimental results. Referring to the $P_N$ values associated with the three scenarios (Table I), it is observed that the various curves are in agreement. The poor performance of the system under fluorescent lighting can be ascribed to the large amount of impulsive noise that is generated due to the switching nature of the fluorescent technology. As expected, the experimental performance curves are worse than the theoretical curves at the same $P_N$. This is to be expected since the simulation does not account for transmitter noise, loss of synchronization at higher distances, and other implementation losses.

Fig. 8 shows the signal constellations for the system in the dark and under fluorescent lighting respectively. At a distance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>$P_N$ [dBW]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dark Room</td>
<td>-71.6372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescent Lighting</td>
<td>-41.0672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor (Diffused Sunlight)</td>
<td>-70.7372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Bit error rate as a function of the distance between the transmitter and the receiver

Fig. 8. Signal Constellation for QPSK Symbols Received at $R = 300$ mm
of 300 mm, the four regions remain quite distinct for the system in the dark. The illuminated system, however, shows heavy distortion. A spectral analysis of the waveforms used for calculating the noise power (11) revealed that the latter system has a distorted frequency response in the 1.4kHz to 4.00kHz band – affecting more than half of the signal bandwidth. The other systems, however, show no such distortion and posses a flat frequency response as expected.

It is also clear from the signal constellation that there is a random phase rotation that cannot be due to phase noise alone. It is believed that this rotation is due the lack of precision in synchronizing the received time domain signal. At every cycle, the receiver A/D converter samples slightly ahead or behind the exact time required. From the constellation diagram, the overall phase spread in any one quadrant can be calculated and used to infer the related (sampling) time delay. In one such experiment, the delay was calculated to be 16.67$\mu$s. This shows that although the delay is within the sampling interval (125$\mu$s), the drift is significant.

IV. Conclusion

The experimental results validate the claim that intensity modulation using OFDM is indeed feasible; the high crest factor that plagues OFDM RF equipment is no longer a disadvantage. The prototype system has managed a distance close to one meter with an impressive bit error rate of $10^{-3}$ under the moderate ambient light conditions found indoors. This distance has been achieved with a single LED and without any kind of channel or source coding – it is assumed that an array of similar LEDs with error correction coding would have a much higher intensity and achieve much greater distances with an even lower BER. The system performance curves also display a good match between the theoretical results and the experimental data. It is also clear that the system performance is ultimately dependent on the environment; the interference observed from the fluorescent lighting system can be easily mitigated by shifting the system bandwidth to a higher frequency. It is possible to further improve the system performance with a faster DSP, better data coding, a higher number of subcarriers, a larger FFT/IFFT, or any combination of these factors.
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